

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 6tox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,050	11/17/2003	Christian Kunert	SGW-97 C2	1157
23599	7590 07/22/2004		EXAM	INER
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			GROUP, KARL E	
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	, VA 22201		1755	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,050	KUNERT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karl E Group	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	'   
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowated accordance with the practice under the second secon	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat		s is
Disposition of Claims			1
4) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		by the Everiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected it a drawing(s) he held in abeva	ance See 37 CFR 1 85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
-	n priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1755

### **Priority**

1. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Claims 1-4, 6,7,10-12,14-17,19,20 claim a colorless glass. The board decision of 9-16-2003 in parent application 09/532966 determined that the parent specification did not provide support for the terminology "colorless". The continuing data in the instant disclosure must list the instant application as a continuation-in-part. It is noted that the specifications must be identical for the instant application to claim continuation status (see above), and the parent applications did not include the terminology "colorless". Furthermore the application 09/287596 is not related to the instant invention.

- 2. The continuing data must also be rewritten to be in only one sentence and to include the current status of the parent applications.
- 3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in Germany on 3-23-1999. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

Art Unit: 1755

### Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification does not provide antecedent basis for "colorless".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 5,8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Netter (5,288,668).

See examples 3 and 4, which teach glass, compositions, which fall squarely within the claimed ranges of components. The manganese oxide of Netter falls within the instant disclosure definition of decolorant, see page 3, lines 21-23.

Claim 8 uses "consisting essentially of" terminology however this does not exclude the presence of colorants, which hide the effect of iron, see page 3. The claims are considered anticipated.

Claim 21 is excluded from this rejection in view of the "consisting of" terminology, which excludes the presence of any other components than that recited in the claims.

7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by GB 2348197 and Japanese document 2000-290037.

Art Unit: 1755

Applicants are not granted the filing dates of the parent applications for reasons set forth above. The GB and Japanese document issued more than one year prior to the filing of the instant application.

#### **Double Patenting**

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,260. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the patented claims overlap the instant claims. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the compositional proportions taught by Kunert et al overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed

Art Unit: 1755

ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", <u>In re Peterson</u> 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003). <u>Also, In re Geisler</u> 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); <u>In re Woodruff</u>, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); <u>In re Malagari</u>, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E Group whose telephone number is 571-272-1368. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Keg 7-20-04 Karl E Group Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755